

For Release: Friday, October 14, 2016

WESTERN INFORMATION OFFICE: San Francisco, Calif.

Technical information: (415) 625-2270 • BLSinfoSF@bls.gov • www.bls.gov/regions/west

Media contact: (415) 625-2270

County Employment and Wages in Idaho – First Quarter 2016

Idaho's only large county, Ada, reported an employment increase of 4.2 percent from March 2015 to March 2016 the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported today. (Large counties are those with 2015 annual average employment levels of 75,000 or more.) Assistant Commissioner for Regional Operations Richard Holden noted that the rate of employment growth in Ada County was faster-paced than the national increase of 2.0 percent. (See <u>table 1</u>.)

Nationally, employment increased in 318 of the 344 largest U.S. counties from March 2015 to March 2016. Williamson, Tenn., had the largest percentage increase with a gain of 7.9 percent over the year. Midland, Texas, had the largest over-the-year percentage decrease in employment among the largest U.S. counties with a loss of 9.0 percent.

Employment in Ada County was 222,300 in March 2016, accounting for one-third of the total employment in Idaho. Nationwide, the 344 largest counties made up 72.6 percent of total U.S. employment which stood at 140.1 million in March 2016.

Employment and wage levels (but not over-the-year changes) are also available for the 43 counties in Idaho with employment below 75,000. All except one of these smaller counties had an average weekly wage below the national average in the first quarter of 2016. (See table 2.)

Large county wage changes

From the first quarter of 2015 to the first quarter of 2016, the average weekly wage in Ada County decreased 3.9 percent, ranking it 317th among the 344 largest U.S. counties. Nationally, the average weekly wage decreased 0.5 percent. (See <u>table 1</u>.)

Of the 344 largest U.S. counties, 167 experienced over-the-year decreases in average weekly wages. McLean, Ill., had the largest percentage wage decrease nationwide (-13.3 percent). Washington, Pa., was second with a wage decrease of 12.0 percent, followed by the counties of Lafayette, La. (-10.3 percent); Mercer, N.J. (-8.5 percent); and Williamson, Texas (-7.8 percent).

Nationally, 164 large U.S. counties had over-the-year increases in average weekly wages. Clayton, Ga., had the largest percentage increase in average weekly wages (15.5 percent), followed by King, Wash. (5.1 percent); San Mateo, Calif. (4.8 percent); Ventura, Calif. (4.4 percent); and Merrimack, N.H. (4.3 percent).

Large county average weekly wages

Ada County's \$839 average weekly wage ranked in the bottom half of the 344 largest U.S. counties. Nationally, the average weekly wage was \$1,043 in the first quarter of 2016.

Nationwide, 91 large counties registered average weekly wages above the U.S. average in the first quarter of 2016. New York, N.Y., recorded the highest average weekly wage at \$2783, followed by Santa Clara, Calif., at \$2,210. Rounding out the top five were San Mateo, Calif. (\$2,195); San Francisco, Calif. (\$2,054); and Somerset, N.J. (\$2,022).

Seventy-four percent of the largest U.S. counties (253) reported weekly wages below the national average in the first quarter of 2016. Horry County, S.C., reported the lowest wage (\$587), followed by the Texas counties of Cameron (\$592); Hidalgo (\$614); and Webb (\$650).

Average weekly wages in Idaho's smaller counties

Among the 43 counties in Idaho with employment below 75,000, only Butte County (\$1,555) had an average weekly wage above the national average of \$1,043. Boise County reported the lowest average weekly wage in the state, averaging \$411 in the first quarter of 2016. (See <u>table 2</u>.)

When all 44 counties in Idaho were considered, 14 reported average weekly wages under \$600, 21 reported wages from \$600 to \$699, 4 had wages from \$700 to \$799, 2 had wages from \$800 to \$899, and 3 had wages at \$900 or above. (See chart 1.)

Additional statistics and other information

QCEW data for states have been included in this release in <u>table 3</u>. For additional information about quarterly employment and wages data, please read the <u>Technical Note</u> or visit <u>www.bls.gov/cew</u>.

Employment and Wages Annual Averages Online features comprehensive information by detailed industry on establishments, employment, and wages for the nation and all states. The 2015 edition of this publication contains selected data produced by Business Employment Dynamics (BED) on job gains and losses, as well as selected data from the first quarter 2016 version of the national news release. Tables and additional content from Employment and Wages Annual Averages 2015 are now available online at http://www.bls.gov/cew/cewbultn15.htm.

The County Employment and Wages release for second quarter 2016 is scheduled to be released on Thursday, December 7, 2016.

Technical Note

Average weekly wage data by county are compiled under the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program, also known as the ES-202 program. The data are derived from summaries of employment and total pay of workers covered by state and federal unemployment insurance (UI) legislation and provided by State Workforce Agencies (SWAs). The 9.7 million employer reports cover 140.1 million full- and part-time workers. The average weekly wage values are calculated by dividing quarterly total wages by the

average of the three monthly employment levels of those covered by UI programs. The result is then divided by 13, the number of weeks in a quarter. It is to be noted, therefore, that over-the-year wage changes for geographic areas may reflect shifts in the composition of employment by industry, occupation, and such other factors as hours of work. Thus, wages may vary among counties, metropolitan areas, or states for reasons other than changes in the average wage level. Data for all states, Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), counties, and the nation are available on the BLS Web site at www.bls.gov/cew/; however, data in QCEW press releases have been revised and may not match the data contained on the Bureau's Web site.

QCEW data are not designed as a time series. QCEW data are simply the sums of individual establishment records reflecting the number of establishments that exist in a county or industry at a point in time. Establishments can move in or out of a county or industry for a number of reasons—some reflecting economic events, others reflecting administrative changes.

The preliminary QCEW data presented in this release may differ from data released by the individual states as well as from the data presented on the BLS Web site. These potential differences result from the states' continuing receipt, review and editing of UI data over time. On the other hand, differences between data in this release and the data found on the BLS Web site are the result of adjustments made to improve over-the-year comparisons. Specifically, these adjustments account for administrative (noneconomic) changes such as a correction to a previously reported location or industry classification. Adjusting for these administrative changes allows users to more accurately assess changes of an economic nature (such as a firm moving from one county to another or changing its primary economic activity) over a 12-month period. Currently, adjusted data are available only from BLS press releases.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: (202) 691-5200; Federal Relay Service: (800) 877-8339.

Table 1. Covered employment and wages in the United States and the largest county in Idaho, first quarter 2016

		Employment		Average weekly wage (1)				
Area	March 2016 (thousands)	Percent change, March 2015-16 (2)	National ranking by percent change ⁽³⁾	Average weekly wage	0 ,	Percent change, first quarter 2015-16 (2)	National ranking by percent change (3)	
United States (4)	140,070.8	2.0		\$1,043		-0.5		
ldaho	670.4	3.5		725	50	-1.5	39	
Ada, Idaho	222.3	4.2	21	839	249	-3.9	317	

⁽¹⁾ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

⁽²⁾ Percent changes were computed from quarterly employment and pay data adjusted for noneconomic county reclassifications.

⁽³⁾ Ranking does not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

⁽⁴⁾ Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Note: Data are preliminary. Covered employment and wages includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

Table 2. Covered employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Idaho, first quarter 2016

Area	Employment March 2016	Average Weekly Wage
United States (2)	140,070,814	\$1,043
ldaho	670,398	725
Ada	222,323	839
Adams	932	666
Bannock	32,624	622
Bear Lake	1,535	475
Benewah	1	697
Bingham	1	626
Blaine	12,049	709
Boise.	1	411
Bonner	12,970	608
Bonneville	47,578	653
Boundary.	3,366	
Butte.		1,555
	1	963
Camas	1	1
Canyon.	59,995	627
Caribou.	3,079	967
Cassia.	1	619
Clark	393	829
Clearwater.	1	651
Custer	1 '	630
Elmore	1	588
Franklin	1 '	530
Fremont	2,603	577
Gem	3,563	571
Gooding	5,768	678
ldaho	4,106	643
Jefferson	6,032	550
Jerome	9,885	647
Kootenai	55,625	677
Latah	13,308	616
Lemhi	2,137	570
Lewis	1,580	565
Lincoln	1,438	610
Madison		536
Minidoka	7,595	634
Nez Perce	20,954	728
Oneida	1,126	465
Owyhee	2,909	575
Payette	6,295	648
Power.	3,187	702
Shoshone	4,510	771
	1	l
Teton.	2,707	591
Twin Falls.	36,521	618
Valley	3,917	645
Washington	2,718	540

⁽¹⁾ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

⁽²⁾ Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

NOTE: Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs. Data are preliminary.

Table 3. Covered employment and wages by state, first quarter 2016

	Employment		Average weekly wage (1)				
State	March 2016 (thousands)	Percent change, March 2015-16	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	Percent change, first quarter 2015-16	National ranking by percent change	
United States (2)	140,070.8	2.0	1043		-0.5		
Alabama	1,902.6	1.6	842	37	-0.2	22	
Alaska	317.6	-1.4	1028	15	-2	43	
Arizona	2,679.8	2.8	918	23	-0.8	30	
Arkansas	1,191.1	2.1	793	45	0.5	13	
California	16,455.5	2.6	1206	6	0.5	20	
Colorado	2,514.6	2.4	1057	13	-1.3	36	
Connecticut	1,650.6	0.6	1362	3	-1.4	38	
Delaware	429.7	1.5	1072	10	-3	48	
District of Columbia.	749.6	2.0	1766	10	0.4	14	
Florida.	8,301.8	3.5	887	27	0.4	18	
Georgia.	4,215.1	3.0	1008	17	1.9	2	
Hawaii	645.1	1.4	896	26	1.7	3	
Idaho.	670.4	3.5	725	50	-1.5	39	
	5,800.6	1.2	1126	7	-1.5 -0.5	28	
Illinois	· '					28	
Indiana	2,949.5	1.9	853	33 36	-0.5	20 27	
lowa	1,518.2	0.9	844		-0.4		
Kansas	1,362.3	0.4	833	38	-2	43	
Kentucky	1,843.9	1.9	823	41	0.1	19	
Louisiana	1,910.5	-0.8	860	32	-2.6	47	
Maine	580.5	1.8	804	44	1.1	8	
Maryland	2,591.7	1.9	1103	9	-0.8	30	
Massachusetts	3,414.8	2.1	1327	4	-1	33	
Michigan	4,163.7	2.1	976	20	0.7	11	
Minnesota	2,750.1	1.5	1065	12	-1.2	34	
Mississippi	1,121.0	1.7	713	51	0.4	14	
Missouri	2,729.5	1.9	879	29	-0.3	25	
Montana	447.8	1.8	751	49	0.3	16	
Nebraska	956.6	1.4	817	42	0	20	
Nevada	1,264.1	3.0	875	30	1.2	5	
New Hampshire	635.1	1.9	998	18	1.6	4	
New Jersey	3,909.7	2.4	1268	5	-1.7	41	
New Mexico	800.4	0.0	792	46	-1.6	40	
New York	9,042.2	2.0	1456	2	-0.3	25	
North Carolina	4,220.3	3.0	928	22	-0.2	22	
North Dakota	409.4	-6.2	908	25	-7.6	51	
Ohio	5,236.2	1.8	913	24	-0.8	30	
Oklahoma	1,578.6	-0.9	833	38	-4.1	49	
Oregon	1,808.2	3.2	929	21	1.2	5	
Pennsylvania	5,662.2	1.1	1012	16	-1.9	42	
Rhode Island	464.6	1.9	985	19	-2.2	46	
South Carolina	1,974.6	2.7	806	43	0.8	10	
South Dakota	410.5	0.9	771	48	1.2	5	
Tennessee	2,859.2	3.3	887	27	0.3	16	
Texas	11,638.7	0.7	1066	11	-2.1	45	
Utah	1,369.2	3.8	849	35	0.6	12	
Vermont	304.6	0.1	832	40	1	9	
Virginia	3,748.1	2.6	1057	13	-1.2	34	
Washington	3,147.7	3.1	1121	8	3	1	
West Virginia	683.9	-1.2	782	47	-1.3	36	
Wisconsin.	2,771.4	1.3	875	30	-0.2	22	
Wyoming	267.9	-3.7	850	34	-4.7	50	

Table 3. Covered employment and wages by state, first quarter 2016 - Continued

	Emplo	yment	Average weekly wage (1)				
		Percent			Percent	National	
State	March 2016 (thousands)	change, March 2015-16	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	change, first quarter 2015-16	ranking by percent change	
Puerto Rico	895.2	-1.2	520	(3)	-0.4	(3)	
Virgin Islands	38.6	0.4	769	(3)	2.9	(3)	

⁽¹⁾ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.
(2) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

⁽³⁾ Data not included in the national ranking.

Note: Data are preliminary. Covered employment and wages includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

Chart 1. Average weekly wages by county in Idaho, first quarter 2016

